

Northern Marianas Humanities Council COVID-19 Relief Grant Application – Scoring Rubric for Individuals

Applicant Name: _____

Does this proposal meet the minimum guidelines?

- Sufficient information is provided to determine that the individual applicant is a humanities scholar, expert, practitioner or a participant in a humanities-based project
- The applicant provides evidence of residency in the CNMI for at least one (1) year prior to the date of application submission.
- Note:** Documents to review include: CNMI government-issued ID or CNMI Voters Card or Employment Verification Letter AND one additional document such as: post-marked mail, Employment Verification Letter, Rental Agreement or front-page of bank or utility statement.

Proceed to scoring only if you have checked all the boxes above.

Question		1 to 2 points	3 points	4 to 5 points	Points Awarded
1	How strongly does the applicant’s project or activities focus on humanities topics? [App. Ref.:Q9,Q10, Q14]				
2	The applicant’s project or activities reaches across the islands and underserved audiences (consider demographics such as race, ethnicity, gender, age, socioeconomic status, sexual/gender identity, etc.).[App. Ref. Q9, Q10, Q11]				
3	The applicant clearly describes how the COVID-19 pandemic impacted his/her ability to make accessible or public knowledge, expertise, or work in the humanities. [App. Ref.: Q14, Q15]				
4	The applicant clearly demonstrates actual and/or anticipated loss of fees in connection to planned participation in humanities-based projects or activities (from March 15, 2020-October 31, 2020). [App. Ref.:Q9,Q12, Q15]				
5	The participant’s anticipated losses are accurately justified in their requested award amount. [App. Ref.:Q12,Q13]				
Add together the points you assigned for each of the questions listed above and enter total. This is your final score.					

(Optional) Support for Making Your Work Public

Question		1 to 2 points	3 points	4 to 5 points	Points Awarded
6	Applicant appropriately justifies costs associated with planned efforts to make their work public. [App. Ref.: Q1-Q6]				

Rubric Scoring Key

1	Does not adequately address application questions and/or eligibility requirements
2	Applicant does little to meet grant application requirements
3	Applicant partially meets expectation of grant application requirements
4	Applicant meets expectations of grant application requirements
5	Applicant exceeds expectations of grant application requirements

Date Reviewed: _____

Initials of Reviewer: _____

Requested Amount: \$ _____

Recommended Award Amount: \$ _____